## REMARKS

This is a request for continued examination in response to the office action mailed July 18, 2005.

The examiner rejected claims 9-11 provisionally under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting; rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tucker, claims 9-13 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Miller in view of Lamb; claims 2-3 as being unpatentable over Tucker; and claims 4-5 as being unpatentable over Tucker. Claims 16-23 are allowed.

The applicant has cancelled claims 1-5 and 6-12 leaving only the allowed claims. The applicant has added claims 24-25 which also contain limitations of the previously allowable claims.

For these reasons, the applicant respectfully requests the examiner to place the case in condition for allowance at her earliest convenience.

Respectfully Submitted

Clifford ?

Clifford H. Kraft

Reg. no. 35,229

Attorney of Record

ORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Clifford H. Kraft 320 Robin Hill Dr. Naperville, IL 60540 708 528-9092 tel.

## First Class Mailing Certificate

I certify that this paper is being placed in United States 1st Class mail with sufficient postage addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 on:

Date: JAN. 17, 2006
Signature: Clifford Knoff

Name: Clifford H. Kraft